Trends in drought indices on the tropical-subtropical region and its correlation with the global warming
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Abstract

The Tropical-Subtropical region of the Earth is home to the main watersheds, sources of water and food on the planet. It dominates Hadley’s global convective circulation affected by major changes in its vertical movements of the air, resulting in the generation of cloudiness, precipitation and drought. In the last decades, persistent droughts have been observed in the subtropical zone of the planet, particularly in Chile Central, South of Africa and Mediterranean Zone called Type K. Also in the instrumental period it was possible to observe two atmospheric warming processes with positive trends, which were later correlated to regional drought annual indices. This study shows the relationship between Global Warming and the drought indexes standing out: I) positive or increasing trends of drought indices in the Subtropics, and II) decreasing negative trends in Ecuador and monsoonal zone. Also, in parts of the Subtropics there are two distinct periods, one with frequent droughts in the first half of the last century, and a second with excessive rainfall in the second half of the 20th century. In the last ten years there have also been long drought processes that would be contributing to changes in the general trends. From the study it is concluded that the Global Warming would be contributing to the drying process in the subtropical regions with 25\% of the regions analyzed, while 58\% of the regions show a Type F oscillation that extends throughout the past century XX, end of the XIX century and beginning of the XXI.
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Resumen

La región tropical-subtropical de la Tierra alberga las principales cuencas hidrográficas, fuentes de agua y alimentos del planeta. Es el dominio de la circulación convectiva global de Hadley, afectada por cambios importantes en sus movimientos verticales del aire, lo que resulta en la generación de nubosidad, precipitación y sequía. En las últimas décadas, se han observado sequías persistentes en la zona subtropical del planeta, particularmente en Chile Central, Sur de África y zona mediterránea llamada tipo K. También en el período instrumental fue posible observar dos procesos de calentamiento atmosférico con tendencias positivas, que fueron más tarde correlacionadas con índices anuales de sequía regional. Este estudio muestra la relación entre el calentamiento global y los índices de sequía, destacando: I) tendencias positivas o crecientes de los índices de sequía en los subtropicos, y II) tendencias decrecientes negativas en Ecuador y zona monzónica. Además, en partes de los subtropicos hay dos períodos distintos, uno con frecuentes sequías en la primera mitad del siglo pasado, y una segunda con lluvias excesivas en la segunda mitad del siglo XX. En los últimos diez años ha habido también largos procesos de sequía que estarian contribuyendo a cambios en las tendencias generales. Del estudio se concluye que el calentamiento global estaria contribuyendo al proceso de sequedad de las regiones subtropicales en el 25\% del regiones analizadas, mientras que el 58\% de las regiones muestran una oscilación de tipo F que se extiende a lo largo del siglo XX, final del Siglo XIX y principios del XXI. PALABRAS CLAVE: Índice regional de sequías, teleconexiones, calentamiento global.

Palabras clave: Índice regional de sequías, teleconexiones, calentamiento global.
1. Introduction

The intertropical and continental mid-latitude regions of the planet are the main sources of water and food. These have been the subject of abundant studies for the economic impact and maintenance of human society, allegedly affected by the Global Warming (GW), IPCC (2001). According to the Basaure (2007) Earth’s surface is 510 million square kilometers, which 70.7% are covered by water. 30% of the Earth’s surface of the mainland is cultivated in 9.3%. From this area, USA and India together, cultivate 23% of the total surface in this activity, followed by Russia and China. Among these four countries, the agricultural area is 40% of the total. Although it is true that most of the crops are located on medium latitudes, many of them are in the periphery of humid or semi-arid subtropical climate regions affected by persistent droughts in open expansion observed by their tendencies.

Motivated by the possible impact of the GW on rainfall and other variables, researchers like Chen et al. (2002) justified the possibility of an intensification of the global convective Circulation of Hadley (CH). This would be drying the subtropical band and growing in volume to the precipitation of the Equatorial zone or the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). With empirical data of precipitation for the Southern Hemisphere (SH), Minetti et al. (2014a) warned about the intensification of droughts in Chile Central (CHI) (Quintana and Aceituno, 2012). Also, making use of climate models, Dai (2013) showed that the GW would generate an increase in droughts over the subtropical region, enhanced by an increase in the evapotranspiration and the water deficit. Other researchers have worked with the hypothesis of a strengthening of CH, expansion of the subtropical anticyclonic zone and shift towards higher latitudes of the same with the years (Quan et al., 2004, Hu and Fu, 2007; Mitas and Clement, 2005; Minetti et al., 2009).

This last hypothesis would collide with the agricultural technologists who are increasing the production surface in the marginal semiarid regions of the Humid Pampas in Argentina, USA, Europe, Australia and South of Africa among others (Minetti and Sierra, 1984; Minetti et al., 2007). These humid bands of the world with climate C-Koeppen (1948) have arid BW and semi-arid zones BS (of Koeppen) adjoining, that exert a natural pressure on them, and by the transitive character on the levels of agricultural productivity (yields in kg/ha), and also on climate derivatives (Mussio, 2009). This pressure is also increased by anthropic action with the use of modern technological resources for its purposes (Houghton, 1994, Reb-oratti, 2010). The same would also be true of tropical climates A-Koeppen either because of an increase in the frequency of droughts or as an increase in the rate of evaporation of natural ecosystems (Marengo, 2009). Much of the world’s agricultural production is generated in subtropical regions where in recent decades, intense droughts have been observed in space-time generating a severe and transitory impact on international grain prices (Ferrelli, 2012; Ortega Gaucin, 2014; Moreno Muñoz, 2010; among others) putting society at risk of food insecurity (Viñas, 2011). The opposite condition generating storms with high volume rainfall in a short time associated with the destruction of communication roads, bridges, main and secondary roads (municipal or communal) have been observed associated with extreme circulation of moisture from the ocean to the continent (external water cycle) and the generation of severe group or regional-scale monsoon storms in Asia or South America (Minetti et al., 2014b; Minetti, 2005; Minetti et al., 2013).

For now this seems to be a game of interests on a global-regional-local scale where the demographic expansion (Dovers et al., 1988, Flores de la Peña, 1954) provides a framework of increasingly adverse environmental conditions or an unsustainable system (Minetti et al., 2007; Viñas, 2011; Moreno Muñoz, 2010). These would also be interrelated with the supply-demand of energy (Munier, 2012) and financial businesses (Dabat, 2009) that converge towards more complicated scenarios than the current ones. In general, the idea of complex interactions ended up being described as a path to collapse of society by ecologists such as Diamond (2006) and its modeling by Motesharrei et al. (2014). Diamond clarifies in his book that among the five points that generate instabilities favorable to collapse is the GW or Climate Change (CC), and for a collapse to occur it would take more than one triggering factor among the five mentioned by him. This is easily understandable if one sees that another of the points of his consideration
has to do with a development not harmonious with nature (non-sustainable activity). Due to its spatial extension, droughts, unlike water excesses within the climate system, are some of the worst triggers of regional social-economic collapses, and if their expansion is persistent, such as observed in the CHI and Subtropical zone of the SH (Minetti et al., 2014a), the collapse is unavoidable with low probability of adaptation and mitigation.

The analysis of regional droughts in the subtropics zone of the Earth shown as trends or low frequency, and faster or high frequency fluctuations, would be treated by covering regions of high interest in the generation of food. In all cases it will try to find some connection between the GW and them. Much of the current empirical climatologically research has been developed since 1948 when the scientific community generated a new global database in a grid of latitude and longitude (Kalnay et al., 1996), which was used to obtain regular meteorological information in the time and space, to be able to diagnose the climatic past and project towards the future the behavior of a planet warming under diverse scenarios (assumptions). In this case Dai (2013) using models CMIP3, A1B, CMIP5, and RCP4.5, showed the areas under aridity on Earth increasing over time, under the effect of a GW with a rate of + 20% between nowadays and the year 2100, with the impact that this would generate.

The recent information from 1948 to the present would not be sufficient to address the study of the GW and its impact on the geographical space. For this, it has been necessary to build an ad-hoc base of long-lived and controlled pluviometric data on the subtropical band and some in the middle latitudes (USA). The latter is due to the interest shown by the agricultural results that occur in this country that has a large capacity for production and storage (stocks) for foreign trade, setting the prices of food. The first results obtained by this group show trend of almost stationary annual rainfall in CHI from the late nineteenth century to 1950-80 and a growth in dry conditions as of that date, coinciding with the intensification of the GW from 1980. We need to verify the possible impact of the GW on the hydric conditions of the subtropical region of the Earth. To do this, it was necessary to: a) present regional rainfall trends in the SH and NH and also collectively, b) regionally analyze the behavior of droughts and c) relate the GW to the hydrologic conditions of the study area. The hypothesis of this research is that the GW would negatively impact the pluviometric conditions of the subtropical region, and in a less homogeneous way, in the NH.

2. Data and methods

The region studied is located between the parallels +/- 40° where the energy balance is positive (Barry and Chorley, 1972). This includes the CH and the ITC as manifestations of large-scale global convection. Its seasonal irregularities are due to the summer monsoonal circulation (MC). Towards the N and S, respectively, the domain of the circulation of middle latitudes begins, included in this work as peripheral circulation. The thermal data treated here correspond to the monthly-annual series compiled and analyzed globally by NASA (https://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp) whose base has been built with information from the Meteorological Services of the respective countries of the planet. The annual series of this variable has been related to the annual water rates treated for this analysis in various regions of the planet described in figure 1. Locations with monthly rainfall series are shown in table 1. Each region under analysis has been constructed with around five locations with information (in same case 4 in the NE of Brazil and 6 in the center of South America), whose water indexes have been estimated with the index proposed by Minetti et al. (2014a). The monthly drought index (MDI) is:

\[
MDI = \frac{N_{\text{dry}}}{N_{\text{total}}} \quad (1)
\]

with: \(0 \leq MDI \leq 1\) (central value = 0.5)

The annual drought index (ADI) is:

\[
ADI = \sum_{1}^{12} MDI \quad (2) \quad \text{with: } 0 \leq ADI \leq 12 \quad (\text{central value} = 6).
\]

The annual drought indexes (ADI) estimated in each region as the percentage of dry locations (referred to the median central value) over the total of localities in each of the months (1), have some properties
that allow working in situations of lack of data, with at least three locations per area. These indices are linearly related to the intensity (area-intensity), and their annual value is the sum of the monthly values. The index is easy to estimate, and the presence of extreme outliers does not affect its temporary treatment as series, allowing Operational Climate Monitoring. These ADI had been treated as time series for the purpose of estimating trends. The degree of the polynomial that best fits these series is a complex choice involving a) the length of the series, b) the presence of medium and long-term climatic phenomena that are associated with the study of the GW, c) the number of localities analyzed in each region and d) by other factors.

Fig. 1: Locations with rainfall research on twelve regions of the planet in the period 1880-2016. In each of the regions there are some rainfall series with data from the period 1850-2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>La Serena</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>29°55'S</td>
<td>71°12'W</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Santiago de Chile</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>33°26'S</td>
<td>70°40'W</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Concepción</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>36°46'S</td>
<td>73°03'W</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Valdivia</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>39°39'S</td>
<td>72°05'W</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Puerto Montt</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>41°26'S</td>
<td>73°05'W</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S. M. de Tucumán</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>26°51'S</td>
<td>65°06'W</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Corrientes</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>27°27'S</td>
<td>58°46'W</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Córdoba</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>31°19'S</td>
<td>64°13'W</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Buenos Aires</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>34°34'S</td>
<td>58°25'W</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bahía Blanca</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>38°44'S</td>
<td>62°10'W</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Curitiba</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>15°39'S</td>
<td>56°06'W</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sao Paulo</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>23°37'S</td>
<td>46°39'W</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>22°49'S</td>
<td>43°15'W</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Goias</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>15°55'S</td>
<td>50°08'W</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cuiaba</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>15°39'S</td>
<td>56°06'W</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>La Paz</td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>16°31'S</td>
<td>68°11'W</td>
<td>4038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Aracaju</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>10°55'S</td>
<td>37°03'W</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Maceió</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>09°31'S</td>
<td>35°47'W</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Quixeramobim</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>05°12'S</td>
<td>39°18'W</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fortaleza</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>03°37'S</td>
<td>38°32'W</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1. Tendency analysis in drought regional indices

The climate variability’s can be represented as the average state of the atmosphere ($\bar{X}$), it’s periodic ($X_p$) and not periodic ($X'$) variations, as an additive linear representation (3).

This definition of a single variable can be extended to a set of variables that represent it ($R =$ Precipitation, $T =$ Temperature, $P =$ Atmospheric pressure, and others). In this way $X = \bar{X} + X_p + X'$ (3) with:

$X$: variable under analysis
$\bar{X}$: average of the variable
3. Results and discussion

The variable $X$ can represent any variable that describes the climate. The Climate Change (CC) as a product of the GW can be represented by changes in $\bar{X}$ over the years but also as changes in the variability ($s$, standard deviation or second order moment) and also its $3^{rd}$ moment order or bias. Disturbances $X'$ in turn can represent the inter annual variations of short (QBO, Naujokat, 1986; ENSO, Ropelewski and Halper, 1987), medium and long term scales (Burroghs, 1992; Hoskins, 2012) (figure 2). To represent the average $\bar{X}$ we should take into account the levels of variability and stability that each variable presents. It is known that the variable of greater instability of rainfall is the average with respect to temperature, pressure and other variables. Also, short-term variations are more unstable than long-term ones. Also, daily rainfall would be more unstable than monthly and annual rainfall, and precipitation would be the most unstable of all the variables. On the other hand, disturbances over 11 years generate instabilities in the estimates of the decadal averages (Herman and Golberg, 1978). Oscillations of these lengths, such as SOL11, OST (Vargas et al. 2002), PDO (Mantua and Hare, 2002), AMO (Schlesinger and Ramankutty, 1994) and SOL80, would destabilize the average values of the rainfall series, confusing such changes with the CC. From the statistical point of view, to obtain averages of long stable periods with errors less than 5% according to Fisher (1963) it would require about 20 years of data on annual rainfall in humid areas, 35 years in semi-arid regions and 50 years in arid areas (Minetti et al., 1986).

![CLIMATIC INTERANNUAL VARIABILITY SCALES](image)

Fig. 2: Scales of the interannual climate variability phenomena from 1 to 100 years. Annual Wave; QBO: Quasi Biennial Oscillation; ENSO: El Niño Southern Oscillation; 10 year interest limit of this analysis; Undecenal Activity of Sunspots SOL11; OST: Subtropical Oscillation; PDO: Pacifical Decadal Oscillation; AMO: Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation; SOL80 Large scale or low frequency solar oscillation.

The latter is due to the fact that the variability and bias in the frequency distributions of the annual rainfall data increase while moving from a humid to a dry climatic regime. To study CC, at least twice as many of these lengths would be required if the variable analyzed is annual precipitation (Minetti, 1990) and so on successively. There are few data series that comply with this condition according to NASA (2017). It states that there are no more than 1000 locations on Earth that exceed 100 years of observation. The greater concentration of data is also located on medium and subtropical latitudes while the minors are on...
equatorial and polar latitudes. An analysis of CC on the Earth with its impacts should take into account all those changes over 10 years in length (as interdecadal variations), which can be evaluated by means of a spectral analysis of the variance (with cycles/sample length of 0.1 or older), according to Tukey (1950) (figure 3). The calculation of the explained variances over 10 years would then allow us to understand the importance of large-scale changes in time and space. In addition to these facts, the spectrum of the variance allows to understanding if the perturbations in the time series would be persistent or not (white noise or Markov red, Uriel, 1985), and the length-area of the perturbations by the connection between both.

Fig. 3: (Left): Regional drought indices in South America with the trend and spectra of variance. (Center): Idem for regions of North America. (Right): Idem for USA regions.

The regions analyzed have been selected because of their geographic location where the maximum decreases and drying of the air occurs and in those regions where the agricultural production of grains is very prominent to later analyze the levels of impacts. With data from annual drought indexes for the twelve regions, a correlation matrix has been calculated in order to regionalize, at a macro scale, one or more homogeneous sub regions to analyze the drying processes with time and space.

Figure 4 shows that the region where the anticyclonic band of both hemispheres develops (SH, NH), with the regions SAF, CHI and ME, the trends can be represented by polynomials 1, 2 and 3 degree with different approaches (Sagastume and Fernandez, 1960) (table 2). Seven of these regional series admits three roots, clearly detecting a dry inter decadal stage and a rainy one with maximum amplitude in the US SE (Peterson et al., 2013). These stages would be around 68 years with quasi periods of 136 years surpassing the changes of 80 years due to solar activity (Hoskins, 2012 and Herman and Goldberg, 1978, among others). The length of such changes would not be feasible for analysis in the instrumental period because of the short length of the series to be treated (136 years). Changes with mathematical expressions of linear tendencies (polynomial of 1st.Gr.), quadratic (polynomial of 2nd.Gr.) and cubic (polynomial of 3rd.Gr.) can be seen in table 3, where it was possible to group five types of spatial variability. With the
correlation matrix method of Lund (1969) it was possible to group the similar regions in their interannual variability of the annual drought index, which would be those of Type F, K, J, L and D. The F type group six American regions, two from South America and four from the USA. Its main long-term model is a polynomial of degree 3. The Type K model groups three subtropical regions (SAF, CHI and ME). This model is represented by polynomial quadratic models. Type J, L and M represent independent changes not connected with the rest.

Fig. 4: Linear (left), quadratic (center) and cubic (right) trends of annual drought indices in the five clustered regions.

Table 2: Parameters of the adjustments by trend of 1st, 2nd and 3rd degree in the series of regional droughts. Those trends that match or exceed the explanation of 10% of the total variance are identified in bold. The significant correlations with the variability of the global temperature are shown (* with confidence level equal to or greater than 95%). $a$ and $b$ are the coefficients of the regression lines (1st degree polynomial). $S$ is the standard deviation, and $CV$ the coefficient of variation (Spiegel, 1969). $S^2 \geq 0.1$ is the sum of the variances explained in the spectrum lengths of the variance over 10 years. $r$ is the correlation coefficient with the temperature anomalies of the planet (1900-2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>%$S^2_{POL1}$</th>
<th>%$S^2_{POL2}$</th>
<th>%$S^2_{POL3}$</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>$S^2$</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>$S^2 \geq 0.1$</th>
<th>$r$ con AT$^\circ$G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHI- CHILE CENTRAL</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>+0.017</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>+0.44*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARG- ARGENTINA</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA- SUDAMERICA CENTRAL</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSA- SUDAMERICA NORTE</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>+0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUS- WEST US</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>+0.0003</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUS- CONTINENTAL US</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>+0.00005</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEUS- SOUTHEAST US</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEUS- NORTHEAST US</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME- SOUTH EUROPE</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>+0.008</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>+0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO- SOUTH ASIA</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAF- SOUTH AFRICA</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>+0.007</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>+0.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUS- AUSTRALIA</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE F</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-0.22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE K</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>+0.011</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>12.95</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>+0.56*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Interrelationships between inter-annual variabilities regions (main components) from a correlation matrix (N: 136 data, r = 0.14-Lund, 1969). Chile (CHI), Argentina (ARG), Centre of South America (CSA), North of South America (NSA), South of Africa (SAF), Mediterranean Zone (ME), Monsoonal Zone (MO), Australia (AUS), West of USA (WUS), Continental USA (CUS), Southeast USA (SEUS) and Northeast USA (NEUS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGIONS</th>
<th>CHI</th>
<th>ARG</th>
<th>CSA</th>
<th>NSA</th>
<th>SAF</th>
<th>ME</th>
<th>MO</th>
<th>AUS</th>
<th>WUS</th>
<th>CUS</th>
<th>SEUS</th>
<th>NEUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>+.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>+.14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAF</td>
<td>+.19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+.24</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>+.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>+.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUS</td>
<td>-.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+.31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>+.15</td>
<td>+.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+.33</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUS</td>
<td></td>
<td>+.26</td>
<td>+.30</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>+.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEUS</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inter-decadal changes in annual global precipitation over ground stations as anomalies (IPCC, 2001) have been evaluated by Peterson and Vose (1997), Chen et al. (2002), Adler et al. (2003), Rudolf et al. (1994), Mitchel and Jones (2005) among others. This information shows that rainfall in the long term went from drier conditions in the first 50 years of the last century (XX) to a rainier season between 1950 and 1990 and a return to drier conditions after 1990, figure 5. A multiple matrix analysis of these anomalies can be adjusted acceptably by a polynomial of 3rd grade as those presented by type F that group most of the regional drought indices. The presence of the same types of trends makes it possible to combine criteria of absolute- relative homogeneity of the series of annual rainfall data according to the WMO (1966). Other hydrological evidences such as the temporal evolution with the years of the height of Lake Titicaca (Minetti et al., 2014a), connections with other variables (Minetti et al., 2013, 2014b) and those of non-instrumental type as impacts presented by Minetti and Vargas (1997), give credit about the real nature of the phenomenon. Also in figure 5 can be seen in a transect West-East the changes of the sign of the trend of annual precipitation between the South American coast of the Pacific East (Chile-negative) with respect to the coast of O. Atlántico (East of Buenos Aires, positive ). The latter remains positive on Uruguay changing the sign of the waves with respect to the continent. These analyze also show that there is no 100-year sine wave in these trends as shown by Sierra and Perez (2006), and that global warming is not correlated with all drought indices in the regions. These non-linear behaviors have also shown that the start and end dates of the series also affect the trends of analyzes shown by Berbery et al. (2006).

Figure 6 shows the ordinary moving average of 5 years and a trend as a 3rd Gr polynomial, with type F in order to show that the observed wave is real. These methods excluding the first and last values because of the methodological limitation of the adjustment In this case, the global series of the IPCC (2001) covers the period 1900-2006, while in the case analyzed here in this work it covers the period 1880-2016. Inverse series in interdecadal rainy and dry periods can be observed in rainfall for the Sahel-Central Africa (10°-20° N, 20° W-10° E) between 1900-2016 with data from doi: 10.6069 / H5 mW2F2Q analyzed by Janowiak (1988), confirming the existence of an intensification of HC when forced by the GW. The long oscillations of the climate are also impacting the SAF in the scales over 10 years with important quasi-periods of 40 years shown in figure 7 and 8 possibly associated with the Pacific Decade Oscillation-PDO (Minetti et al. 2010), agreement with (Nicholson and Entekabi, 1986, 1987 a and b, and 1989). Details of the trends can be seen in the type K model of figures 7 and 8, with intensification.
of droughts in the last 40 years apparently forced by the GW. This trend has led to data from the last
decade being located within the first decile of the distribution (extraordinary data). The trend is seen
through a polynomial adjustment of 3rd. Gr. in types K and F. The opposite condition to type K with a
decreasing tendency of droughts or increase in precipitation can be seen in type MO (South of Asia). This
case would be explained as the displacement of the CIT towards Asia in the summer (Loo et al., 2015)
and the intensification of the convection movements and subsidence in the Subtropics. As subsidence
movements of the HC that are evident in CHI, ME and SAF (Philandras et al., 2011). Type L of the NE
of Brazil has a reverse connection with the CUS and a parabolic adjustment (figure 4), and turn is inverse
to CUS. Type J of AUS (Makuey et al., 2013) is similar to SEUS while maintaining low wave amplitude
in contrast to the previous one. Table 4 summarizes the types of variability which have shown significant
correlations.

Fig. 5: (Above): Trends in annual rainfall in the longest series of southern South America in a North-
South transect. (Bottom): Idem in a West-East transect over middle latitudes.
Fig. 6: Annual drought index in types F (first component), and types K (second component). In both with 3rd degree polynomial tendency and 5 years moving average.

Fig. 7: Annual drought index in the terrestrial subtropics (type K) (second component), trend filtered with 3rd degree polynomial and 5 years moving average.
Fig. 8: Drought index of types K (CHI, SAF and ME) with 3rd degree polynomial tendency and 5 years moving average (above). The spectrum of the variance of the index K mode and the correlogram showing a quasi-periodicity of around 30-40 years (below).

Table 4: Types of variability discriminated in the study region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>Positive correlation</th>
<th>Negative correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>ARG-CSA-WUS-SEUS-NEUS-CUS</td>
<td>NSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>SAF-CHI-MED</td>
<td>MO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>AU</td>
<td>CHI-ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>NSA</td>
<td>CUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>SAF-CHI-MED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions

The subtropical region of the planet shown in the areas SAF, CHI and ME an increase in the drought indices as positive trends maintained in the last 50 years.

The index composed of the three regions, SAF, CHI and ME has a significant correlation with the (GW) and this phenomenon would be due to an intensification of HC.

Geographical inhomogeneity between the continental and maritime hemispheres (North-South) and the orographic (East-West) would be responsible for the global irregularity of the HC.

Asian monsoonal circulation has a negative trend in annual drought rates, because ITC interrupts CH in South Asia. In this case can see a negative trend (more rainy with the decades), and grow inter annual with major episodes of drought and rainfall.

In the SEUS, a trend change is highlighted (3rd degree polynomial). It highlights the contrast between the dry period that includes the First and Second World War (1910-1950) with subsequent increase in rainfall between 1950-1990 (rainiest).

The trends towards droughts are returning to the last two decades (1995-2016) including the occurrence of severe dry episodes that were also observed in the continental area of South America between the years 2008 and 2013.

It is very evident the opposition of signs between the annual rainfall trends between the Pacific and Atlantic coast in mid latitudes of South America and the wave inversion between the continent and the East of the subcontinent.

The annual drought indexes are significantly correlated with inter-annual changes in global temperature in the areas of CHI, SAF, ME, and MO, the latter with an inverted sign as it represents the ITC. However it cannot be argued that this effect is anthropic due to the scales involved in the phenomena.

The K-type index filtered by 3rd degree polynomial shows a quasi-periodic oscillation of almost 40 years, very useful for long-term seasonal climate prediction.
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